UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

DYNA-DRILL TECHNOLOGIES INC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-03-5599

KENNAMETAL INC; dba CONFORMA
CLAD INC,

Defendant.

S

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiffs Dyna-Drill Technologies and Michael Speckert ("Plaintiffs") prevailed in this declaratory judgment action, defeating Defendant Kennemetal Inc. d/b/a/ Conforma Clad's ("Conforma Clad") counterclaims at trial. Plaintiffs now seek recovery of attorneys' fees from Conforma Clad. After consideration of the parties' filings, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiffs' motion for recovery of attorneys' fees.

Plaintiffs do not claim that attorneys' fees are recoverable under the substantive law governing this case. Instead, they seek recovery under the Court's inherent powers. The Court may, in its discretion, grant fees "when the losing party has acted in bad faith, vexatiously, wantonly, or for oppressive reasons." *Alyeska Pipeline Serv. Co. v. Wilderness Soc'y*, 421 U.S. 240, 258-59 (1975) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). In the context of this hard-fought case, the Court finds that Conforma Clad did not act so "vexatiously" or "wantonly" as to justify an award of attorneys' fees to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' motion for recovery of attorneys' fees is therefore **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED THIS 9TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2005.

Keith P. Ellison

United States District Judge

TO INSURE PROPER NOTICE, EACH PARTY WHO RECEIVES THIS ORDER SHALL FORWARD A COPY OF IT TO EVERY OTHER PARTY AND AFFECTED NON-PARTY EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY HAVE BEEN SENT ONE BY THE COURT.